arXiv:1207.2523v1 [math.PR] 11 Jul 2012

EXPONENTIAL ERGODICITY FOR SDES WITH JUMPS AND NON-LIPSCHITZ COEFFICIENTS*

HUIJIE QIAO

Department of Mathematics, Southeast University,
Nanjing, Jiangsu 211189, P.R.China
Email: hjqiaogean@yahoo.com.cn

Abstract. In this paper we show irreducibility and the strong Feller property for transition probabilities of stochastic differential equations with jumps and monotone coefficients. Thus, exponential ergodicity and the spectral gap for the corresponding transition semigroups are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geqslant 0})$ be a complete filtered probability space, and $(\mathbb{U}, \mathcal{U}, \nu)$ a σ-finite measurable space. Let $\{W(t)\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a d-dimensional standard $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted Brownian motion, and $\{k_t, t \geqslant 0\}$ a stationary $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted Poisson point process with values in $\mathbb{U}$ and with characteristic measure ν(cf. [5]). Let $N_k((0,t], \mathrm{d}u)$ be the counting measure of k_t, i.e., for $A \in \mathcal{U}$

$$N_k((0,t], A) := \#\{0 < s \leqslant t : k_s \in A\},$$

where # denotes the cardinality of a set. The compensator measure of N_k is given by

$$\tilde{N}_k((0,t], \mathrm{d}u) := N_k((0,t], \mathrm{d}u) - t\nu(\mathrm{d}u).$$

In the following, we fix a $\mathbb{U}_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\nu(\mathbb{U} - \mathbb{U}_0) < \infty$, and consider the following stochastic differential equation (SDE) with jumps in $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)\mathrm{d}W_s + \int_0^{t+}\int_{\mathbb{U}_0} f(X_{s-}, u)\tilde{N}_k(\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u), \qquad (1)$$

where $b : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ are measurable functions. Here, the second integral of the right side in Eq.(1) is taken in the Itô's sense, and the definition of the third integral is referred to [5]. When $d = 1$, Youngmff Kwon and Chaniio I. Ff in [7] showed that the transition semigroup of Eq.(1) is strong Feller and irreducible under some smoothness and growth conditions on b, σ, f with nondegenerate diffusion term. If b, σ, f are Lipschitz continuous, Masuda in [8] provided sets of conditions under which the transition semigroup of Eq.(1) fulfils the ergodic theorem for any initial distribution.

In this paper, we study the ergodicity of Eq.(1) under some non-Lipschitz conditions. First of all, recall some notions about the ergodicity. Let $\{X_t(x), t \geqslant 0, x \in \mathbb{E}\}$ be a family of Markov processes with state space $\mathbb{E}$ being a Hausdorff topology space, and transition

---

AMS Subject Classification(2000): 60H10, 60J75; 34A12.

Keywords: SDEs with jumps, strong Feller property, irreducibility, monotone property, ergodicity.

*This work is supported by NSF(No. 11001051) of China.

probability $p_t(x, E)$. Then
(i) p_t is called irreducible if for each $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{E}$

$$p_t(x, E) > 0 \text{ for any non-empty open set } E \subset \mathbb{E} \ ;$$

(ii) p_t is called strong Feller if for each $t > 0$ and $E \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{E})$

$$\mathbb{E} \ni x \mapsto p_t(x, E) \in [0, 1] \text{ is continuous } ;$$

(iii) A measure μ on $(\mathbb{E}, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{E}))$ is an invariant measure for p_t if

$$\int_{\mathbb{E}} p_t(x, E)\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \mu(E), \quad \forall t > 0, E \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{E}).$$

The transition probability $p_t(x, \cdot)$ determines a Markov semigroup $(p_t)_{t \geqslant 0}$. The theorem below is a classical result combining the above concepts.(cf. [2])

Theorem 1.1. *Assume a Makov semigroup $(p_t)_{t \geqslant 0}$ is irreducible and strong Feller. Then there exists at most one invariant measure for it. Moreover, if μ is the invariant measure, then μ is ergodic and equivalent to each $p_t(x, \cdot)$ and as $t \to \infty$, $p_t(x, E) \to \mu(E)$ for any Borel set E.*

Next introduce our non-Lipschitz conditions.

Hypotheses:

($\mathbf{H}_1$) There exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$2\langle x - y, b(x) - b(y)\rangle + \|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)\|^2 \leqslant \lambda_0 |x - y|^2 \kappa(|x - y|),$$

where κ is a positive continuous function, bounded on $[1, \infty)$ and satisfying

$$\lim_{x \downarrow 0} \frac{\kappa(x)}{\log x^{-1}} = \delta < \infty.$$

Here the function κ controls the continuity modulus of $b(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ such that the modulus is non-Lipschitz, for example, $\kappa(x) = C_1 \cdot (\log(1/x) \vee K)^{1/\beta_1}$ for some $\beta_1 > 1$ and $C_1, K > 0$.

($\mathbf{H}_2$) There exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|b(x)|^2 + \|\sigma(x)\|^2 \leqslant \lambda_1 (1 + |x|)^2.$$

($\mathbf{H}_3$) b is continuous and there exists $\lambda_2 > 0$ such that

$$\langle \sigma(x)h, h\rangle \geqslant \sqrt{\lambda_2} |h|^2, \qquad x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2}$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $|\cdot|$ the length of a vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\|\cdot\|$ the Hilbert-Schmit norm from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$.

When $\nu(\mathbb{U}_0) = 0$ and b, σ satisfy the above assumptions and another assumption, Zhang [14] proved that the transition semigroup of Eq.(1) has the exponential ergodicity in the sense that there exists a constant $\beta_2 > 0$ such that for $t > 0$,

$$\|p_t(x_0, \cdot) - \mu\|_{Var} \leqslant C_2 \cdot e^{-\beta_2 t},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{Var}$ denotes the total variation of a signed measure and $C_2 > 0$ is a constant. Here we require $\nu(\mathbb{U}_0) \neq 0$ and follow the same lines as done in [14]. Thus how to treat the term with jumps is our key.

Firstly, we make the following assumption on f:

($\mathbf{H}_f$)

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}_0} \big|f(x,u)-f(y,u)\big|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}u) \leqslant 2|\lambda_0||x-y|^2\kappa(|x-y|)$$

and for $q=2$ and 4

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}_0} |f(x,u)|^q \, \nu(\mathrm{d}u) \leqslant \lambda_1(1+|x|)^q.$$

Under ($\mathbf{H}_1$), ($\mathbf{H}_2$) and **($\mathbf{H}_f$)**, it is well known that there exists a unique strong solution to Eq.(1) (cf. [12, Theorem 170, p.140]). This solution will be denoted by $X_t(x_0)$. The transition semigroup associated with $X_t(x_0)$ is defined by

$$p_t\varphi(x_0) := \mathbf{E}\varphi(X_t(x_0)), \qquad t>0, \quad \varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ stands for the Banach space of all bounded measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The transition probability is given by

$$p_t(x_0,E) := (p_t 1_E)(x_0) = P(X_t(x_0)\in E), \quad E \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

By Girsanov's theorem on processes with jumps we get the irreducibility under ($\mathbf{H}_1$)-($\mathbf{H}_3$) and **($\mathbf{H}_f$)** (cf. Proposition 2.4).

In order to get strong Feller property, we need the following stronger assumptions on b,σ,f:

($\mathbf{H}_1'$) There exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$

$$2\langle x-y, b(x)-b(y)\rangle + \|\sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)-\sigma_{\lambda_2}(y)\|^2 \leqslant \lambda_0|x-y|^2\kappa(|x-y|),$$

where $\sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)$ is the unique symmetric nonnegative definite matrix-valued function such that $\sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)\sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)=\sigma(x)\sigma(x)-\lambda_2 I$ for the unit matrix I.

($\mathbf{H}_f'$) There exists a positive function $L(u)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{u\in\mathbb{U}_0} L(u) \leqslant \gamma < 1 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} L(u)^2 \, \nu(\mathrm{d}u) < +\infty,$$

such that for any $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and $u\in\mathbb{U}_0$

$$|f(x,u)-f(y,u)| \leqslant L(u)|x-y|,$$

and

$$|f(0,u)| \leqslant L(u).$$

Remark 1.2. *To explain that ($\mathbf{H}_1'$) is stronger than ($\mathbf{H}_1$), a matrix result is needed. And we will give a general result in Section 2.*

When b,σ and f satisfy ($\mathbf{H}_1'$), ($\mathbf{H}_2$), ($\mathbf{H}_3$) and **($\mathbf{H}_f'$)**, we obtain strong Feller property by the coupling method (cf. Proposition 2.5).

Finally, to show the ergodicity, the following assumption is needed:

($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f}$) There exist a $r\geqslant 2$ and two constants $\lambda_3>0$, $\lambda_4\geqslant 0$ such that for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$

$$2\langle x, b(x)\rangle + \|\sigma(x)\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} \big|f(x,u)\big|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}u) \leqslant -\lambda_3|x|^r + \lambda_4.$$

We are now in a position to state our main result in the present paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume* ($\mathbf{H}_1'$), ($\mathbf{H}_2$), ($\mathbf{H}_3$) *and* ($\mathbf{H}_f'$). *Then the semigroup* p_t *is irreducible and strong Feller. If in addition,* ($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f}$) *hold, then there exists a unique invariant probability measure* μ *of* p_t *having full support in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *such that*

(i) if $r \geqslant 2$ *in* ($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f}$), *then for all* $t > 0$ *and* $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, μ *is equivalent to* $p_t(x_0,\cdot)$ *and*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|p_t(x_0,\cdot) - \mu\|_{Var} = 0.$$

(ii) if $r > 2$ *in* ($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f}$), *then for some* $\alpha, C_3 > 0$ *independent of* x_0 *and* t,

$$\|p_t(x_0,\cdot) - \mu\|_{Var} \leqslant C_3 \cdot e^{-\alpha t}.$$

Moreover, for any $\gamma > 1$ *and each* $\varphi \in L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$

$$\|p_t\varphi - \mu(\varphi)\|_{L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d,\mu)} \leqslant C_4 \cdot e^{-\alpha t/\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d,\mu)}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where α *is the same as above,* $\mu(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$ *and* $C_4 > 0$ *is a constant depending on* γ. *In particular, let* L_γ *be the generator of* p_t *in* $L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d,\mu)$, *then* L_γ *has a spectral gap (greater than* α/γ*) in* $L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d,\mu)$.

The following convention will be used throughout the paper: C with or without indices will denote different positive constants (depending on the indices) whose values may change from one place to another one.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose* A, B *are two symmetric positive definite matrices satisfying that there exists a* $\lambda > 0$ *such that* $\langle Ah, h\rangle \geqslant \sqrt{\lambda}|h|^2, \langle Bh, h\rangle \geqslant \sqrt{\lambda}|h|^2$ *for* $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, *and* $AB = BA$. *Then*

$$\|A - B\| \leqslant \|\sqrt{A^2 - \lambda \mathrm{I}} - \sqrt{B^2 - \lambda \mathrm{I}}\|. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Set

$$A_\lambda := \sqrt{A^2 - \lambda I}, \qquad B_\lambda := \sqrt{B^2 - \lambda I}.$$

To show (3), we consider the difference of $\|A - B\|^2$ and $\|A_\lambda - B_\lambda\|^2$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A-B\|^2 - \|A_\lambda - B_\lambda\|^2 &= \mathrm{tr}((A-B)^2) - \mathrm{tr}((A_\lambda - B_\lambda)^2) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}(A^2 - 2AB + B^2) - \mathrm{tr}(A_\lambda^2 - 2A_\lambda B_\lambda + B_\lambda^2) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}(A^2 - 2AB + B^2) - \mathrm{tr}(A^2 - \lambda I - 2A_\lambda B_\lambda + B^2 - \lambda I) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}(-2AB + 2\lambda I + 2A_\lambda B_\lambda) \\
&= 2\left[\mathrm{tr}(A_\lambda B_\lambda) - \mathrm{tr}(AB) + \lambda d\right], \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{tr}(\cdot)$ stands for the trace of a matrix.

By the proof of [4, Theorem 7.4.10, p.433], one can obtain $A = UMU^*$ and $B = UNU^*$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ is real orthogonal, $M = diag(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d)$, $N = diag(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$, and η_i, μ_i are eigenvalues of A and B, respectively, and larger than $\sqrt{\lambda}$. Moreover, $A_\lambda = UM_\lambda U^*$ and $B_\lambda = UN_\lambda U^*$, where $M_\lambda = diag(\sqrt{\eta_1^2 - \lambda}, \dots, \sqrt{\eta_d^2 - \lambda})$, $N_\lambda = diag(\sqrt{\mu_1^2 - \lambda}, \dots, \sqrt{\mu_d^2 - \lambda})$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{tr}(A_\lambda B_\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}(UM_\lambda U^* U N_\lambda U^*) = \mathrm{tr}(UM_\lambda N_\lambda U^*) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\eta_i^2 - \lambda}\sqrt{\mu_i^2 - \lambda}.$$

By the same deduction as the above one, we have $\mathrm{tr}(AB) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{d} \eta_i\mu_i$. So, the right hand side of (4) can be written as $2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{d}\left(\sqrt{\eta_i^2-\lambda}\sqrt{\mu_i^2-\lambda}-(\eta_i\mu_i-\lambda)\right)$.

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sqrt{\eta_i^2-\lambda}\sqrt{\mu_i^2-\lambda}\right)^2-(\eta_i\mu_i-\lambda)^2 &= (\eta_i^2-\lambda)(\mu_i^2-\lambda)-(\eta_i\mu_i-\lambda)^2\\ &= \eta_i^2\mu_i^2-\eta_i^2\lambda-\lambda\mu_i^2+\lambda^2-\eta_i^2\mu_i^2+2\lambda\eta_i\mu_i-\lambda^2\\ &= -\lambda(\eta_i-\mu_i)^2 \leqslant 0,\end{aligned}$$

we get $\sqrt{\eta_i^2-\lambda}\sqrt{\mu_i^2-\lambda} \leqslant \eta_i\mu_i-\lambda$. Thus, it holds that $\|A-B\|^2-\|A_\lambda-B_\lambda\|^2 \leqslant 0$ and (3). □

To show the irreducibility, we firstly estimate $X_t(x_0)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that b,σ and f satisfy $(\mathbf{H}_1)$-$(\mathbf{H}_2)$ and $(\mathbf{H}_f)$. Then for any $T>0$*

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t|^2\right] \leqslant C, \tag{5}$$

where C depends on x_0,λ_1 and T.

Proof. Applying Itô's formula to Eq.(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|X_t|^2 &= |x_0|^2+\int_0^t 2\langle X_s,b(X_s)\rangle\mathrm{d}s+\int_0^t 2\langle X_s,\sigma(X_s)\mathrm{d}W_s\rangle\\ &\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}\left[|X_{s-}+f(X_{s-},u)|^2-|X_{s-}|^2\right]\tilde{N}_k(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}u)+\int_0^t\|\sigma(X_s)\|^2\mathrm{d}s\\ &\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}\left[|X_s+f(X_s,u)|^2-|X_s|^2-2\langle X_s,f(X_s,u)\rangle\right]\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

By BDG inequality, Young's inequality and mean theorem, one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t|^2\right] &\leqslant |x_0|^2+\mathbf{E}\int_0^T 2|X_s||b(X_s)|\mathrm{d}s+C\mathbf{E}\left(\int_0^T|X_s|^2\|\sigma(X_s)\|^2\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\quad+C\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}\left[|f(X_{s-},u)|^2+|f(X_{s-},u)||X_{s-}|\right]^2N_k(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}u)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\quad+\mathbf{E}\int_0^T\|\sigma(X_s)\|^2\mathrm{d}s+\mathbf{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}|f(X_s,u)|^2\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s\\ &\leqslant |x_0|^2+\mathbf{E}\left(2\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t|\int_0^T|b(X_s)|\mathrm{d}s\right)+\mathbf{E}\int_0^T\|\sigma(X_s)\|^2\mathrm{d}s\\ &\quad+C\mathbf{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t|^2\int_0^T\|\sigma(X_s)\|^2\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\mathbf{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}|f(X_s,u)|^2\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+C\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0} 2\left[|f(X_{s-},u)|^4+|f(X_{s-},u)|^2|X_{s-}|^2\right]N_k(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}u)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\leqslant\ & |x_0|^2+\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t|^2\right]+C\mathbf{E}\int_0^T|b(X_s)|^2\mathrm{d}s+C\mathbf{E}\int_0^T\|\sigma(X_s)\|^2\mathrm{d}s\\
&+C\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}|f(X_{s-},u)|^4N_k(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}u)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}+\mathbf{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}|f(X_s,u)|^2\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s\\
&+C\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}|f(X_{s-},u)|^2|X_{s-}|^2N_k(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}u)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the fifth term in the right hand side, we use Young's inequality and $(\mathbf{H}_f)$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}|f(X_{s-},u)|^4N_k(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}u)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\leqslant\ & C\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}(1+|X_{t-}|)^2\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}\frac{|f(X_{s-},u)|^4}{(1+|X_{s-}|)^2}N_k(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}u)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\leqslant\ & \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}(1+|X_{t-}|)^2\right]+C\mathbf{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}\frac{|f(X_{s-},u)|^4}{(1+|X_{s-}|)^2}\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s\\
\leqslant\ & \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_{s-}|^2\right]+\frac{1}{4}+C\mathbf{E}\int_0^T(1+|X_s|)^2\mathrm{d}s. \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}
$$

To the seventh term in the right hand side, the similar method yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}|f(X_{s-},u)|^2|X_{s-}|^2N_k(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}u)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\leqslant\ & \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_{t-}|^2\right]+C\mathbf{E}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}|f(X_s,u)|^2\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (7), (8), (9), $(\mathbf{H}_2)$ and $(\mathbf{H}_f)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t|^2\right] &\leqslant 4|x_0|^2+1+C\mathbf{E}\int_0^T(1+|X_s|)^2\mathrm{d}s\\
&\leqslant 4|x_0|^2+1+C\mathbf{E}\int_0^T 2(1+|X_s|^2)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\leqslant 4|x_0|^2+(1+2CT)+2C\int_0^T\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,t]}|X_s|^2\right]\mathrm{d}t.
\end{aligned}
$$

Gronwall's inequality yields

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t|^2\right]\leqslant C,
$$

where C depends on x_0, λ_1 and T. □

Secondly, construct some auxiliary processes. For any $T > 0$, let $t_0 \in (0, T)$, whose value will be determined below. Set for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$X^n_{t_0} = X_{t_0} I_{\{|X_{t_0}| \leqslant n\}}.$$

And then by Lemma 2.2

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{E}|X^n_{t_0} - X_{t_0}|^2 = 0.$$

For $t \in [t_0, T]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$\begin{aligned} J^n_t &= \frac{T-t}{T-t_0} X^n_{t_0} + \frac{t-t_0}{T-t_0} y, \\ h^n_t &= \frac{y - X^n_{t_0}}{T-t_0} - b(J^n_t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$J^n_{t_0} = X^n_{t_0}, \quad J^n_T = y,$$

and J^n_t satisfies the following equation:

$$J^n_t = X^n_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t b(J^n_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_{t_0}^t h^n_s \mathrm{d}s, \quad t \in [t_0, T].$$

Next, we introduce the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= X_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t b(Y_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_{t_0}^t h^n_s \mathrm{d}s + \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(Y_s)\mathrm{d}W_s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} f(Y_{s-}, u)\, \tilde{N}_k(\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u), \qquad t \in [t_0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that b, σ and f satisfy ($\mathbf{H}_1$)-($\mathbf{H}_2$) and ($\mathbf{H}_f$). Then*

$$\mathbf{E}|Y_T - y|^2 \leqslant \left[\mathbf{E}|X_{t_0} - X^n_{t_0}|^2 + C(T-t_0)\right]^{e^{-|\lambda_0|(T-t_0)}}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Set $Z_t := Y_t - J^n_t$, and then Z_t satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= X_{t_0} - X^n_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t \left(b(Y_s) - b(J^n_s)\right)\mathrm{d}s + \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(Y_s)\mathrm{d}W_s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} f(Y_{s-}, u)\, \tilde{N}_k(\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u), \qquad t \in [t_0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

By Itô's formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_t|^2 &= |X_{t_0} - X^n_{t_0}|^2 + \int_{t_0}^t 2\langle Z_s, b(Y_s) - b(J^n_s)\rangle \mathrm{d}s + \int_{t_0}^t 2\langle Z_s, \sigma(Y_s)\mathrm{d}W_s\rangle \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} \left[|Z_{s-} + f(Y_{s-}, u)|^2 - |Z_{s-}|^2\right] \tilde{N}_k(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u) + \int_{t_0}^t \|\sigma(Y_s)\|^2 \mathrm{d}s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} \left[|Z_s + f(Y_s, u)|^2 - |Z_s|^2 - 2\langle Z_s, f(Y_s, u)\rangle\right] \nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from ($\mathbf{H}_1$)-($\mathbf{H}_2$) and ($\mathbf{H}_f$) that

$$\mathbf{E}|Z_t|^2 = \mathbf{E}|X_{t_0} - X^n_{t_0}|^2 + \mathbf{E}\int_{t_0}^t 2\langle Z_s, b(Y_s) - b(J^n_s)\rangle \mathrm{d}s + \mathbf{E}\int_{t_0}^t \|\sigma(Y_s)\|^2 \mathrm{d}s$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\mathbf{E}\int_{t_0}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}\left[|Z_s+f(Y_s,u)|^2-|Z_s|^2-2\langle Z_s,f(Y_s,u)\rangle\right]\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s\\
\leqslant\ & \mathbf{E}|X_{t_0}-X_{t_0}^n|^2+\mathbf{E}\int_{t_0}^{t}|\lambda_0||Z_s|^2\kappa(|Z_s|)\mathrm{d}s+2\lambda_1\mathbf{E}\int_{t_0}^{t}(1+|Y_s|)^2\mathrm{d}s. \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
x^2\kappa(x)\leqslant\rho_\delta(x^2),\qquad x>0, \tag{12}
$$

where $\rho_\delta:\mathbb{R}_+\mapsto\mathbb{R}_+$ is a concave function given by

$$
\rho_\delta(x):=\begin{cases} x\log x^{-1}, & x\leqslant\delta,\\ (\log\eta^{-1}-1)x+\eta, & x>\delta.\end{cases}
$$

Next, estimate $\mathbf{E}|Y_t|^2$. For $t\in[t_0,T]$, by Hölder's inequality, one can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Y_t|^2 &\leqslant 5|X_{t_0}|^2+5T^{\frac12}\int_{t_0}^{t}|b(Y_s)|^2\mathrm{d}s+5T^{\frac12}\int_{t_0}^{t}|h_s^n|^2\mathrm{d}s+5\left|\int_{t_0}^{t}\sigma(Y_s)\mathrm{d}W_s\right|^2\\
&\quad+5\left|\int_{t_0}^{t+}\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}f(Y_{s-},u)\,\tilde N_k(\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u)\right|^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by Burkholder's inequality, $(\mathbf{H}_2)$ and $(\mathbf{H}_f)$, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}|Y_t|^2 &\leqslant 5\mathbf{E}|X_{t_0}|^2+5T^{\frac12}\mathbf{E}\int_{t_0}^{t}|b(Y_s)|^2\mathrm{d}s+5T^{\frac12}\mathbf{E}\int_{t_0}^{t}|h_s^n|^2\mathrm{d}s\\
&\quad+5\int_{t_0}^{t}\|\sigma(Y_s)\|^2\mathrm{d}s+5\int_{t_0}^{t+}\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}|f(Y_{s-},u)|^2\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\leqslant 5\mathbf{E}|X_{t_0}|^2+C+C\int_{t_0}^{t}\mathbf{E}|Y_s|^2\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}
$$

Gronwall's inequality admits us to obtain that

$$
\sup_{t\in[t_0,T]}\mathbf{E}|Y_t|^2\leqslant C, \tag{13}
$$

where C is independent of t_0.

Combining (11)-(13), by Jensen's inequality and the Bihari inequality (cf. [14, Lemma 2.1]), we have

$$
\mathbf{E}|Y_T-y|^2\leqslant\left[\mathbf{E}|X_{t_0}-X_{t_0}^n|^2+C(T-t_0)\right]^{e^{-|\lambda_0|(T-t_0)}}.
$$

The proof is completed. □

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that b,σ and f satisfy $(\mathbf{H}_1)$-$(\mathbf{H}_3)$ and $(\mathbf{H}_f)$. Then the transition probability p_t is irreducible.*

Proof. To prove the irreducibility, it suffices to prove that for each $T>0$ and $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
p_T\big(x_0,B(y,a)\big)=P\big(X_T(x_0)\in B(y,a)\big)=P\big(|X_T(x_0)-y|<a\big)>0,
$$

or equivalently

$$
P\big(|X_T(x_0)-y|\geqslant a\big)<1, \tag{14}
$$

for any $y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and $a>0$.

First of all, study the process Y_t. Define

$$Y_t := X_t, \qquad t \in [0, t_0]$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= x_0 + \int_0^t b(Y_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t I_{\{s>t_0\}} h_s^n \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_s)\mathrm{d}W_s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} f(Y_{s-}, u)\, \tilde{N}_k(\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u), \qquad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} & H_t := I_{\{t>t_0\}} \sigma(Y_t)^{-1} h_t^n, \\ & \xi_t := \exp\left\{ \int_0^t \langle \mathrm{d}W_s, H_s \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |H_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By $(\mathbf{H}_3)$, we obtain that $|H_t|^2$ is bounded, which yields that $\mathbf{E}\xi_T = 1$ by Novikov's criteria. And then define

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{W}_t := W_t + \int_0^t H_s \mathrm{d}s, \\ & Q := \xi_T P. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by [12, Theorem 132] we know that Q is a probability measure, $\bar{W}_t$ is a Q -Brownian motion and $\tilde{N}_k\big((0,t], \mathrm{d}u\big)$ is a Poisson martingale measure under Q with the same compensator $\nu(\mathrm{d}u)t$. Moreover, Y_t is the solution of the following equation

$$Y_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(Y_s)\, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma(Y_s)\, \mathrm{d}\bar{W}_s + \int_0^{t+} \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} f(Y_{s-}, u)\, \tilde{N}_k(\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u).$$

By the uniqueness in law of Eq.(1) we attain that the law of $\{X_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ under P is the same to that of $\{Y_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ under Q. Therefore, to obtain (14), it is sufficient to prove $Q\big(|Y_T - y| \geqslant a\big) < 1$, and furthermore, $P\big(|Y_T - y| \geqslant a\big) < 1$ by equivalency of Q, P.

It holds by Chebyshev's inequality and Lemma 2.3 that

$$P\big(|Y_T - y| \geqslant a\big) \leqslant \left[\mathbf{E}|X_{t_0} - X_{t_0}^n|^2 + C(T - t_0)\right]^{e^{-|\lambda_0|(T-t_0)}} / a^2.$$

Choosing n large enough and t_0 close enough to T, we have

$$P\big(|Y_T - y| \geqslant a\big) < 1.$$

The proof is completed. $\square$

Next we use the coupling method to prove strong Feller property. Set $a(x) := \sigma(x)\sigma(x)$. And then the infinitesimal generator of Eq.(1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L\psi(x) &= b^i(x)\partial_i \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} a^{ij}(x) \partial_{ij} \psi(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} \big(\psi\left(x + f(x,u)\right) - \psi(x) - f^i(x,u)\partial_i \psi(x)\big)\, \nu(\mathrm{d}u), \end{aligned}$$

for $\psi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Recall that an operator $\tilde{L}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ is called a coupling operator of L if $\tilde{L}$ satisfies the marginal condition:

$$(\tilde{L}\psi)(x,y) = L\psi(x), \quad \psi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{15}$$

where ψ is regarded as a function in $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. For any $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $|x_0 - y_0| < \delta$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x,y) &:= \frac{x-y}{|x-y|}, \quad u_\delta(x,y) = \frac{|x_0-y_0|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\delta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} u(x,y),\\
c(x,y) &:= \lambda_2\big(I - 2u_\delta(x,y)u_\delta(x,y)^*\big) + \sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)\sigma_{\lambda_2}(y)^*,
\end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq y$, where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ (its value will be determined below). Thus the operator given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}\psi(x,y) \;=\;& b^i(x)\partial_{x_i}\psi(x,y) + b^i(x)\partial_{y_i}\psi(x,y)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\Big[a^{ij}(x)\partial_{x_i x_j}\psi(x,y) + a^{ij}(x)\partial_{y_i y_j}\psi(x,y)\\
&+c^{ij}(x,y)\partial_{x_i y_j}\psi(x,y) + (c(x,y)^*)^{ij}\partial_{y_i x_j}\psi(x,y)\Big]\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}\Big(\psi\big(x+f(x,u), y+f(y,u)\big) - \psi(x,y) - f^i(x,u)\partial_{x_i}\psi(x,y)\\
&\qquad -f^i(y,u)\partial_{y_i}\psi(x,y)\Big)\nu(\mathrm{d}u), \quad \psi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})
\end{aligned}$$

is a coupling operator of L.

By the analysis similar to [13, Section 3.1], there exist a stochastic basis $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}; (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t\geqslant 0})$ and a $\hat{\mathbb{R}}^{2d}$-valued process $\tilde{Z}'_t$ ($\hat{\mathbb{R}}^{2d}$ is one point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$) such that $\tilde{Z}'_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ and for each $\psi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$

$$\left\{\psi(\tilde{Z}'_{t\wedge e}) - \psi(\tilde{Z}'_0) - \int_0^{t\wedge e}\tilde{L}\psi(\tilde{Z}'_s)\mathrm{d}s, \quad t \geqslant 0\right\} \tag{16}$$

is an $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)$-local martingale, where e is the explosion time of the process $\tilde{Z}'_t = (\tilde{X}'_t, \tilde{Y}_t)$, i.e.

$$e = \lim_{n\to\infty} e_n, \quad e_n = \inf\left\{t > 0 : \Big(|\tilde{X}'_t| + |\tilde{Y}_t|\Big) > n\right\}.$$

Since $\tilde{X}'_t$ and $\tilde{Y}_t$ are associated with the operator L starting from x_0 and y_0, respectively, we have $e = \infty$ by $(\mathbf{H}_2)$ and $(\mathbf{H}'_f)$. Consider the coupling time τ of $\tilde{Z}'_t$, i.e.

$$\tau := \inf\{t > 0 : |\tilde{X}'_t - \tilde{Y}_t| = 0\},$$

and define

$$\tilde{X}_t = \begin{cases} \tilde{X}'_t, & t < \tau,\\ \tilde{Y}_t, & t \geqslant \tau. \end{cases}$$

And then for any $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\tilde{X}_t) - \psi(x_0) - \int_0^t L\psi(\tilde{X}_s)\mathrm{d}s \;=\;& \psi(\tilde{X}_{t\wedge\tau}) - \psi(x_0) - \int_0^{t\wedge\tau} L\psi(\tilde{X}_s)\mathrm{d}s\\
&+\psi(\tilde{X}_t) - \psi(\tilde{X}_{t\wedge\tau}) - \int_{t\wedge\tau}^t L\psi(\tilde{X}_s)\mathrm{d}s
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \psi(\tilde{X}'_{t\wedge\tau}) - \psi(x_0) - \int_0^{t\wedge\tau} L\psi(\tilde{X}'_s)\mathrm{d}s \\
&\quad + \psi(\tilde{Y}_t) - \psi(\tilde{Y}_{t\wedge\tau}) - \int_{t\wedge\tau}^{t} L\psi(\tilde{Y}_s)\mathrm{d}s,
\end{aligned}
$$

together with Doob stopping theorem yields that the process $\tilde{X}_t$ is also associated with L. Thus the generator of $\tilde{Z}_t := (\tilde{X}_t, \tilde{Y}_t)$ before τ is just $\tilde{L}$.

Proposition 2.5. *Under* ($\mathbf{H}'_1$), ($\mathbf{H}_2$), ($\mathbf{H}_3$) *and* ($\mathbf{H}'_f$), *the semigroup* p_t *of* X_t *is strong Feller.*

Proof. For any $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by the definition of $\tilde{X}_t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|p_t\varphi(x_0) - p_t\varphi(y_0)| &= |\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\varphi(\tilde{X}_t(x_0))) - \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\varphi(\tilde{Y}_t(y_0)))| \\
&\leqslant |\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\varphi(\tilde{X}_t(x_0))1_{t<\tau}] - \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\varphi(\tilde{Y}_t(y_0))1_{t<\tau}]| \\
&\quad + |\tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\varphi(\tilde{X}_t(x_0))1_{t\geqslant\tau}] - \tilde{\mathbf{E}}[\varphi(\tilde{Y}_t(y_0))1_{t\geqslant\tau}]| \\
&\leqslant 2\|\varphi\|_0 \tilde{P}(t<\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ is the expectation with respect to $\tilde{P}$.

Next we estimate $\tilde{P}(t<\tau)$.

Define

$$
S_\delta := \inf\{t \geqslant 0 : |\tilde{X}_t - \tilde{Y}_t| > \delta\}.
$$

Setting $g(r) := \frac{r}{1+r}, r \geqslant 0$ and $\psi(x,y) := g(|x-y|)$, one can obtain by (16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathbf{E}} g(|\tilde{X}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta} - \tilde{Y}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}|) \\
&= g(|x_0 - y_0|) + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta} \frac{\bar{G}(\tilde{X}_s, \tilde{Y}_s)}{2} g''(|\tilde{X}_s - \tilde{Y}_s|)\mathrm{d}s \\
&\quad + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta} \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(G(\tilde{X}_s, \tilde{Y}_s)\right) - \bar{G}(\tilde{X}_s, \tilde{Y}_s) + 2F(\tilde{X}_s, \tilde{Y}_s)}{2|\tilde{X}_s - \tilde{Y}_s|} g'(|\tilde{X}_s - \tilde{Y}_s|)\mathrm{d}s \\
&\quad + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta} \int_{\mathbb{U}_0} \Big[g\left(|\tilde{X}_s + f(\tilde{X}_s, u) - \tilde{Y}_s - f(\tilde{Y}_s, u)|\right) - g\left(|\tilde{X}_s - \tilde{Y}_s|\right) \\
&\qquad - g'\left(|\tilde{X}_s - \tilde{Y}_s|\right)\left\langle u(\tilde{X}_s, \tilde{Y}_s), f(\tilde{X}_s, u) - f(\tilde{Y}_s, u)\right\rangle \Big] \nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s \\
&=: g(|x_0 - y_0|) + I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{17}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G(x,y) = a(x) + a(y) - c(x,y) - c(x,y)^*, \\
&\bar{G}(x,y) = \langle u(x,y), G(x,y)u(x,y)\rangle, \\
&F(x,y) = \langle x-y, b(x) - b(y)\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
g'(r) = \frac{1}{(1+r)^2}, \quad g''(r) = -\frac{2}{(1+r)^3}.
$$

Noting that by ($\mathbf{H}_2$)

$$
\bar{G}(x,y) = \left\langle u(x,y), \big(a(x) + a(y) - 2\lambda_2 - \sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)\sigma_{\lambda_2}(y) - \sigma_{\lambda_2}(y)\sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)\big)u(x,y)\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\langle u(x,y), 4\lambda_2\frac{|x_0-y_0|^\alpha}{\delta^\alpha}u(x,y)u(x,y)^*u(x,y)\rangle\\
=\ &\Big\langle u(x,y), \big(\sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)-\sigma_{\lambda_2}(y)\big)^2u(x,y)\Big\rangle+4\lambda_2\frac{|x_0-y_0|^\alpha}{\delta^\alpha}\\
\geqslant\ & 4\lambda_2\frac{|x_0-y_0|^\alpha}{\delta^\alpha},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{tr}\big(G(x,y)\big)-\bar{G}(x,y) &= \mathrm{tr}\big(a(x)+a(y)-2\lambda_2-\sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)\sigma_{\lambda_2}(y)-\sigma_{\lambda_2}(y)\sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)\big)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{tr}\big(4\lambda_2\frac{|x_0-y_0|^\alpha}{\delta^\alpha}u(x,y)u(x,y)^*\big)-\bar{G}(x,y)\\
&\leqslant \|\sigma_{\lambda_2}(x)-\sigma_{\lambda_2}(y)\|^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
I_1\leqslant-\frac{4\lambda_2}{\delta^\alpha(1+\delta)^3}\cdot|x_0-y_0|^\alpha\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta). \tag{18}
$$

By $(\mathbf{H}_1')$ we have

$$
I_2\leqslant\tilde{\mathbf{E}}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}\frac{|\lambda_0|}{2}|\tilde{X}_s-\tilde{Y}_s|\kappa(|\tilde{X}_s-\tilde{Y}_s|)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{19}
$$

Next, deal with I_3. Mean theorem and $(\mathbf{H}_f')$ admit us to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&g(|x-y+f(x,u)-f(y,u)|)-g(|x-y|)-g'(|x-y|)\langle u(x,y),f(x,u)-f(y,u)\rangle\\
=\ &g''(|x-y+\theta(f(x,u)-f(y,u))|)|x-y+\theta(f(x,u)-f(y,u))|^{-2}\\
&\quad\cdot\langle x-y+\theta(f(x,u)-f(y,u)),f(x,u)-f(y,u)\rangle^2\\
&+g'(|x-y+\theta(f(x,u)-f(y,u))|)\Big[|x-y+\theta(f(x,u)-f(y,u))|^{-1}\\
&\quad\cdot|f(x,u)-f(y,u)|^2-\langle x-y+\theta(f(x,u)-f(y,u)),f(x,u)-f(y,u)\rangle^2\\
&\quad\cdot|x-y+\theta(f(x,u)-f(y,u))|^{-3}\Big]\\
\leqslant\ &\frac{L(u)^2}{1-L(u)}|x-y|\\
\leqslant\ &CL(u)^2|x-y|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\theta<1$. So

$$
I_3\leqslant\tilde{\mathbf{E}}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}C|\tilde{X}_s-\tilde{Y}_s|\mathrm{d}s. \tag{20}
$$

Combining (18), (19) and (20), one can obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{1+\delta}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}-\tilde{Y}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}|\\
\leqslant\ &|x_0-y_0|-\frac{4\lambda_2}{\delta^\alpha(1+\delta)^3}\cdot|x_0-y_0|^\alpha\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta)\\
&+\frac{|\lambda_0|}{2}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}\rho_\delta(|\tilde{X}_s-\tilde{Y}_s|)\mathrm{d}s+C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}|\tilde{X}_s-\tilde{Y}_s|\mathrm{d}s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\leqslant |x_0-y_0|+(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{2}+C)\int_0^{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}\rho_\delta(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}|\tilde{X}_s-\tilde{Y}_s|)\mathrm{d}s\\ &\quad-\frac{4\lambda_2}{\delta^\alpha(1+\delta)^3}\cdot|x_0-y_0|^\alpha\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta),\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

where the second step bases on Jensen's inequality. By the Bihari inequality (cf. [14, Lemma 2.1]) , we get that for any $t>0$ and $|x_0-y_0|\leqslant\delta$,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}-\tilde{Y}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}|\leqslant(1+\delta)|x_0-y_0|^{\exp\{-(1+\delta)(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{2}+C)t\}}.\tag{22}$$

On one hand, substituting (22) into (21) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta)\ &\leqslant\ \frac{\delta^\alpha(1+\delta)^3}{4\lambda_2}\Big[|x_0-y_0|^{1-\alpha}+(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{2}+C)t\\ &\qquad\cdot\rho_\delta\Big((1+\delta)|x_0-y_0|^{\exp\{-(1+\delta)(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{2}+C)t\}}\Big)\cdot|x_0-y_0|^{-\alpha}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n\to\infty$, one can obtain by Levy's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(t\wedge\tau\wedge S_\delta)\ &\leqslant\ \frac{\delta^\alpha(1+\delta)^3}{4\lambda_2}\Big[|x_0-y_0|^{1-\alpha}+(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{2}+C)t\\ &\qquad\cdot\rho_\delta\Big((1+\delta)|x_0-y_0|^{\exp\{-(1+\delta)(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{2}+C)t\}}\Big)\cdot|x_0-y_0|^{-\alpha}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Take $\alpha=\exp\{-(1+\delta)(|\lambda_0|+2C)t\}/3$. Thus, there exists a $0<\delta'<\delta$ such that for any $|x_0-y_0|\leqslant\delta'$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}\big((2t)\wedge\tau\wedge S_\delta\big)\leqslant C_{t,\lambda_0,\delta}\cdot|x_0-y_0|^{\exp\{-(1+\delta)(|\lambda_0|+2C)t\}/6}.\tag{23}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (22)

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\tilde{P}\big(t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n>S_\delta\big)\ &\leqslant\ \tilde{\mathbf{E}}\Big[|\tilde{X}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}-\tilde{Y}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}|\cdot I_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n>S_\delta}\Big]\\ &\leqslant\ \tilde{\mathbf{E}}|\tilde{X}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}-\tilde{Y}_{t\wedge\tau\wedge e_n\wedge S_\delta}|\\ &\leqslant\ (1+\delta)|x_0-y_0|^{\exp\{-(1+\delta)(\frac{|\lambda_0|}{2}+C)t\}}.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n\to\infty$, we have

$$\tilde{P}\big((2t)\wedge\tau>S_\delta\big)\leqslant\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}|x_0-y_0|^{\exp\{-(1+\delta)(|\lambda_0|+2C)t\}}.\tag{24}$$

Finally, by (23) and (24) it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}(t<\tau)\ &=\ \tilde{P}(t<\tau,S_\delta>t)+\tilde{P}(t<\tau,S_\delta\leqslant t)\\ &\leqslant\ \tilde{P}\big((2t)\wedge\tau\wedge S_\delta>t\big)+\tilde{P}\big((2t)\wedge\tau>S_\delta\big)\\ &\leqslant\ \frac{1}{t}\tilde{\mathbf{E}}\big((2t)\wedge\tau\wedge S_\delta\big)+\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}|x_0-y_0|^{\exp\{-(1+\delta)(|\lambda_0|+2C)t\}}\\ &\leqslant\ C_{t,\lambda_0,\delta}\cdot|x_0-y_0|^{\exp\{-(1+\delta)(|\lambda_0|+2C)t\}/6}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is completed. □

We now give

Proof of Theorem 1.3

By Itô's formula and mean theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|X_t|^2 &= |x_0|^2+\int_0^t \mathbf{E}2\langle X_s,b(X_s)\rangle\mathrm{d}s+\int_0^t \mathbf{E}\|\sigma(X_s)\|^2\mathrm{d}s\\ &\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}\mathbf{E}\left[|X_s+f(X_s,u)|^2-|X_s|^2-2\langle X_s,f(X_s,u)\rangle\right]\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s\\ &= |x_0|^2+\int_0^t \mathbf{E}2\langle X_s,b(X_s)\rangle\mathrm{d}s+\int_0^t \mathbf{E}\|\sigma(X_s)\|^2\mathrm{d}s\\ &\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{U}_0}\mathbf{E}|f(X_s,u)|^2\nu(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s. \qquad (25)\end{aligned}$$

By $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$, one obtains

$$\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \mathbf{E}|X_s|^r\mathrm{d}s\leqslant\frac{x_0}{\lambda_3 t}+\frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_3}.$$

Set

$$\mu_T(A):=\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T p_t(x_0,A)\mathrm{d}t,$$

for any $T>0$ and $A\in\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. And we have by Chebyshev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_T(B^c(0,R)) &= \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T p_t(x_0,B^c(0,R))\mathrm{d}t\\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{TR^r}\int_0^T \mathbf{E}|X_t|^r\mathrm{d}t\\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{R^r}\left(\frac{x_0}{\lambda_3 T}+\frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_3}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $\varepsilon>0$, $\mu_T(B(0,R))>1-\varepsilon$ for R being large enough. Hence, $\{\mu_T,T>0\}$ is tight and its limit is an invariant probability measure μ. As we have just proved, p_t is irreducible and strong Feller, then by Theorem 1.1, μ is equivalent to each $p_t(x,\cdot)$ and as $t\to\infty$, $p_t(x,E)\to\mu(E)$ for any Borel set E.

If $r>2$, to (25), taking derivatives with respect to t and using $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$ and Hölder's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{E}|X_t|^2}{\mathrm{d}t} &\leqslant -\lambda_3\mathbf{E}|X_t|^r+\lambda_4\\ &\leqslant -\lambda_3(\mathbf{E}|X_t|^2)^{\frac{r}{2}}+\lambda_4.\end{aligned}$$

Let $f(t)$ solve the following ODE:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} f'(t)=-\lambda_3 f(t)^{\frac{r}{2}}+\lambda_4,\\ f(0)=|x_0|^2.\end{array}\right.$$

By the comparison theorem on ODE and [1, Lemma 1.2.6, p.32], we have for some $C>0$

$$\mathbf{E}|X_t|^2\leqslant f(t)\leqslant C\left[1+t^{\frac{1}{1-r/2}}\right],$$

where the right side is independent of x_0.

Since p_t is irreducible and strong Feller, we have for any $b, a > 0$ and $t > 0$

$$\inf_{x_0 \in B(0,b)} p_t\left(x_0, B(0,a)\right) > 0.$$

The second conclusion then follows from [3, Theorem 2.5 (b) and Theorem 2.7].

Acknowledgements:

The author is very grateful to Professor Xicheng Zhang for his valuable discussions and also wish to thank referees for suggestions and improvements.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Cerrai: Second Order PDE's in Finite and Infinite dimension. A Probabilistic Approach, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1762, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, x+330pp.

[2] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk: Ergodicity for Infinite Dimensional Systems, Cambridge University Press, 1996.

[3] B. Goldys, B. Maslowski: Exponential ergodicity for stochastic reaction- diffusion equations, Stochastic partial differential equations and applications-VII, 115-131, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., 245, Chapman Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.

[4] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson: Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, 1985.

[5] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe: Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, 2nd ed., North-Holland/Kodanska, Amsterdam/Tokyo, 1989.

[6] A. M. Kulik: Exponential ergodicity of the solutions to SDE's with a jump noise, *Stochastic Process. Appl*, 119(2009)602-632.

[7] Youngmff Kwon and Chaniio I. Ff: Strong feller property and irreducibility of diffusions with jumps, *Stochastics An international Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes,* 67(1999)147-157.

[8] H. Masuda: Ergodicity and exponential β-mixing bounds for multidimensional diffusions with jumps, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications,* 117(2007)35-56.

[9] H. Masuda: Erratum to:"Ergodicity and exponential β-mixing bounds for multidimensional diffusions with jumps"[Stochastic Process. Appl. 117(2007)35-56], *Stochastic Processes and their Applications,* 119(2009)676-678.

[10] E. Priola and F. Wang: Gradient estimates for diffusion semigroups with singular coefficients, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 236(2006)244-264.

[11] J. Ren, J. Wu and X. Zhang: Exponential ergodicity of non-Lipschitz multivalued stochastic differential equations, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 134(2010)391-404.

[12] R. Situ: Theory of stochastic differential equations with jumps and applications, Springer, 2005.

[13] J. Wang: Regularity of semigroups generated by Lévy type operators via coupling, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 120(2010)1680-1700.

[14] X. Zhang: Exponential ergodicity of non-Lipschitz stochastic differential equations, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society,* 137(2009)329-337.